

# The Influence of Open Innovation Practices on Competitive Advantage in Emerging Markets: An Empirical Study Based on the Chinese Market

Zhang, Liqiu<sup>1</sup> & Abd Rani, Nurul Sahadila<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Education, University Islam Melaka, 78200 Kuala Sungai Baru, Malacca

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Education, University Islam Melaka, 78200 Kuala Sungai Baru, Malacca

**Email Address:**

75054842@qq.com (Zhang, Liqiu), sahadila.abdrani@unimel.edu.my (Abd Rani, Nurul Sahadila)

\*Corresponding author: 75054842@qq.com (Zhang, Liqiu)

**To Cite This Article:**

Zhang, L., & Abd Rani, N. S. (2024). The Influence of Open Innovation Practices on Competitive Advantage in Emerging Markets: An Empirical Study Based on the Chinese Market. *Uniglobal Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 3(2), 281–286. <https://doi.org/10.53797/ujssh.v3i2.27.2024>

**Abstract:** This research examines the influence of open innovation (OI) methods on competitive advantage in emerging markets, particularly concerning Chinese enterprises. An analysis of the contributions of inbound, outward, and linked innovation processes to market performance, profitability, and innovation capacities offers a thorough knowledge of the function of open innovation in resource-constrained situations. The study utilises a quantitative survey methodology, collecting data from several businesses to evaluate the correlation between open innovation policies and competitive results. The study also investigates the moderating effects of contextual factors, including business size, industrial sector, and collaboration intensity, elucidating their impact on the efficacy of open innovation techniques. The results indicate that OI markedly improves competitive advantage, especially when companies combine collaborative methods with strategic internal initiatives. The study identifies significant deficiencies in existing literature by investigating the insufficiently analysed dynamics of Open Innovation in non-Western settings, particularly China, and offers pragmatic suggestions for managers and policymakers in emerging markets. The conclusion offers recommendations for future study aimed at applying these findings to various locations and industries, highlighting the necessity for comparative and longitudinal studies to enhance the comprehension of OI across different economic contexts.

**Keywords:** Open innovation, Competitive advantage, Emerging markets, Chinese firms, Quantitative research

## 1. Introduction

The notion of open innovation (OI) has transformed how enterprises create goods, processes, and services by utilising both external and internal ideas. Emerging markets, defined by swift economic expansion and changing market dynamics, provide a rich context for examining the influence of open innovation on competitive advantage. This study investigates the impact of open innovation techniques on enterprises in China's emerging market, emphasising how cooperation, information sharing, and external engagement enhance competitive positioning.

China, the largest emerging market worldwide, offers a distinctive setting for companies to amalgamate traditional methods with contemporary innovation techniques. The current study indicates that companies in emerging economies encounter distinct problems, such as resource limitations and institutional deficiencies, which open innovation might alleviate. Nonetheless, research on the correlation between OI practices and competitive advantage in emerging markets still needs to be available. This study examines how companies in China leverage open innovation to improve their market competitiveness.

The research is significant for both scholars and practitioners. It provides empirical insights for scholars regarding the applicability of OI in non-Western contexts. It offers practitioners pragmatic guidelines for incorporating OI into strategic planning. This study utilises a quantitative methodology, implementing a survey to gather data from companies in diverse

sectors inside China. This technique seeks to yield statistically sound results that enhance the ongoing discourse on OI in emerging markets.

### 1.1 Research Gap and Significance

Although there is an expanding corpus of open innovation (OI) literature, most research concentrates on established economies, frequently neglecting the distinct dynamics and problems encountered by enterprises in emerging countries. Emerging economies such as China, characterised by resource limitations, institutional deficiencies, and swiftly changing market conditions, offer a conducive context for examining the intricate link between open innovation methods and competitive advantage. Nevertheless, more empirical information is needed about implementing OI methods in these situations and their efficacy in enhancing competitiveness.

Moreover, most current research prioritises qualitative analyses or theoretical frameworks, with a limited number employing rigorous quantitative methods to ascertain causal linkages. This discrepancy raises significant questions regarding the quantifiable effects of OI methods in emerging markets, particularly across varied industries with differing innovation capabilities. Furthermore, as China's innovation ecosystem develops, examining how enterprises utilise open innovation to address issues such as global rivalry and digital transformation is imperative. This work is significant as it may bridge these gaps by offering empirical evidence from the Chinese market. This research enhances the scholarly discussion on OI and provides practical insights for managers and policymakers. By comprehending how OI fosters competitive advantage in China, stakeholders may formulate strategies that optimise innovation results and improve market positioning.

### 1.2 Research Objectives

This study has two primary research objectives:

- To investigate the relationship between open innovation practices and competitive advantage in the context of emerging markets, with a focus on Chinese firms.
- To identify the key factors influencing the successful implementation of open innovation strategies in emerging markets.

### 1.3 Research Questions

This study has two primary research questions:

- How do open innovation practices influence competitive advantage in emerging markets, particularly in the Chinese context?
- What are the contextual factors that affect the successful implementation of open innovation strategies in emerging markets?

## 2. Literature Review

Open innovation (OI), a term introduced by Chesbrough (2003), reconfigures innovation processes by fostering collaboration and external partnerships to augment organisational innovation capabilities. In contrast to conventional closed innovation, which depends exclusively on internal resources, open innovation incorporates external knowledge and technologies while disseminating internal ideas to the market or partners. This strategy enables companies to expedite innovation, minimise expenses, and leverage varied knowledge. In emerging markets, OI is particularly significant due to distinct economic situations. These markets frequently encounter resource limitations, such as insufficient finance, a scarcity of trained labour, and institutional deficiencies, including fragile legal systems and inadequate regulatory frameworks. These issues need inventive collaboration, as companies utilise external resources to address internal constraints. The dynamic and swiftly changing competitive landscapes in emerging economies like China and India present potential for Open Innovation by facilitating collaborations between local and global stakeholders to build context-specific solutions.

Recent studies highlight the advantages of open innovation in developing economies, demonstrating its capacity to close innovation disparities, improve corporate performance, and establish enduring competitive advantages (Majumdar et al., 2023). Implementing open innovation in these environments necessitates overcoming obstacles such as intellectual property issues, cultural disparities, and the requirement for robust collaboration structures. These variables render emerging markets a pivotal domain for the progression of the philosophy and practice of Open Innovation.

## 2.1 Open Innovation in Emerging Markets

In emerging economies, open innovation (OI) has become popular as a tactical means of resolving structural issues including a lack of skilled labour and restricted R&D capacity. Businesses in these areas frequently depend on partnerships with other parties, such as academic institutions, research centres, and governmental organisations, to close innovation gaps (Gassmann et al., 2010). For instance, government programs offer financial and policy support to encourage joint innovation, while agreements with universities give businesses access to state-of-the-art research. In situations where businesses lack the internal resources to maintain conventional, closed innovation platforms, these external connections are essential. Furthermore, OI is a crucial tactic for businesses looking to stay competitive given the dynamic surroundings of emerging economies, which are marked by fast industrialisation and globalisation.

## 2.2 OI Practices and Competitive Advantage

Co-development, crowdsourcing, and open information sharing are examples of OI methods that significantly impact gaining and maintaining competitive advantage. These approaches improve businesses' capacity to create better goods, shorten time-to-market, and successfully meet customer expectations, as Bogers et al. (2017) suggested. Co-development with suppliers and customers, for example, allows businesses to access a variety of viewpoints and promote creativity. However, contextual elements like market maturity, industry dynamics, and regulatory regimes affect how much OI adds to competitive advantage. OI can be a differentiator in emerging economies when institutional frameworks change, and competition is fierce. To optimise the advantages of OI, businesses must manage risks such as intellectual property management and coordination issues.

## 2.3 Empirical Gaps

Despite the increased interest in OI, its applications in emerging economies have received little attention in the study, which mostly concentrates on established markets. Since most empirical research has focused on theoretical frameworks or qualitative insights, there is a noticeable lack of quantitative analysis of how OI affects competitive advantage in places like China. Furthermore, the precise mechanisms that allow businesses to convert OI practices into observable performance gains, such as the interactions between contextual factors and inbound or outward innovation processes have not been sufficiently investigated. By addressing these shortcomings, this study attempts to provide insights into the wider applicability of OI across emerging economies by conducting an empirical analysis of its function in generating competitive advantage in China's dynamic market.

## 3.0 Research Method

This section outlines the quantitative methodology adopted in this study, which includes a survey-based approach. The methodology ensures rigour and reliability in examining the relationship between OI practices and competitive advantage.

### 3.1 Research Design

The study adopts a cross-sectional survey design, chosen for its effectiveness in collecting data from a broad range of firms at a specific point in time. A cross-sectional approach is particularly suitable for examining phenomena that are not time-dependent, allowing researchers to identify correlations and differences across variables in a large population (Creswell, 2014). By focusing on OI dimensions inbound (sourcing external knowledge), outbound (sharing internal knowledge), and coupled (a combination of both inbound and outbound processes) the study aligns these dimensions with competitive advantage metrics, such as market share, profitability, and innovation performance. These metrics are widely recognized as indicators of organisational success and have been frequently used in strategic management studies (Barney, 1991; Teece, 1997). This design facilitates an understanding of how firms leverage OI practices to enhance competitive positioning in dynamic markets, particularly in emerging economies like China, where innovation and competition are intensifying.

### 3.2 Population and Sample

The target population comprises firms from diverse industries in China's emerging market. The inclusion of sectors like manufacturing, technology, and services ensures that findings are generalizable across a broad economic spectrum. To achieve representativeness, the study employs a stratified random sampling technique, which divides the population into subgroups (or strata) based on industry type. This method reduces sampling bias and ensures proportionate representation of different sectors (Bryman, 2016). The sample size was determined using statistical power analysis, a rigorous method that ensures adequate sample size for detecting statistically significant effects (Cohen, 1988). The study aimed for a minimum of 500 respondents, which is sufficient to maintain statistical reliability and generalizability for multivariate analyses (Hair et al., 2019).

### 3.3 Instrumentation

The survey instrument was developed using validated scales from prior studies to ensure accuracy and reliability. For example, measures for OI practices were adapted from Laursen and Salter (2006), who established robust frameworks for assessing inbound and outbound innovation activities. The questionnaire consists of three sections:

**Demographic Information:** Captures firm characteristics such as size, industry, and location.

**OI Practices:** Assesses the extent of inbound, outbound, and coupled processes using 5-point likert scales ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

**Competitive Advantage Metrics:** Evaluates performance indicators like market share, profitability, and innovation performance. To ensure content validity, the instrument was pre-tested in a pilot study with 50 firms. This phase provided feedback on clarity, relevance, and structure, leading to refinement in the questionnaire. The reliability of the instrument was assessed using Cronbach's alpha, a statistical measure of internal consistency. A threshold of 0.7 or higher was achieved, which is considered acceptable for social science research (Nunnally & Bernstein, 1994).

### 4.0 Findings and Discussions

The findings suggest a strong positive relationship between OI practices and competitive advantage. Inbound and coupled innovation showed the highest correlations, indicating that firms benefit most from collaborative approaches. The discussion section interprets these results in light of existing literature and offers insights into their implications for firms in China.

The results section presents statistical analyses, including descriptive statistics, correlations, and regression analysis, to examine the relationship between OI practices and competitive advantage.

Dimension	Mean Score	Standard Deviation	Correlation with Competitive Advantage
Inbound Innovation	4.2	0.6	0.65**
Outbound Innovation	3.8	0.7	0.55**
Coupled Innovation	4.0	0.5	0.70**

Table 1: Findings of relationship between OI practices and competitive advantage

\*\*(\* $p < 0.01$ )

Table 1 presents the study's results, illuminating the correlations between competitive advantage and the various open innovation (OI) practices—Inbound Innovation, Outbound Innovation, and Coupled Innovation. These findings provide significant insights into the influence of various OI methods on organisational performance and maintaining a competitive advantage in fluctuating business landscapes. The examined aspects encompass the mean scores and standard deviations for each practice and their link with competitive advantage, collectively offering a thorough insight into how businesses interact with OI and its consequences for strategic success.

Inbound Innovation entails the acquisition of external knowledge and its integration into an organisation's internal processes to improve innovation results. This dimension exhibits a mean score of 4.2 and a standard deviation of 0.6, indicating a considerable level of acceptance across the examined organisations. The comparatively low standard deviation indicates that most firms have consistently adopted Inbound Innovation techniques, signifying its broad adoption as a fundamental element of innovation strategy.

The correlation coefficient ( $r=0.65$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) indicates a robust and statistically significant positive association between Inbound Innovation and competitive advantage. This conclusion indicates that businesses that effectively utilise external knowledge sources—such as partnerships, customer insights, supplier collaboration, or university research—are more likely to attain higher performance outcomes. By incorporating external concepts, companies can obtain varied viewpoints and innovative technology, expediting their innovation processes and enhancing their responsiveness to market fluctuations. The strong correlation highlights the importance of sustaining effective systems for recognising and integrating external knowledge, like open innovation platforms, knowledge-sharing networks, or co-development projects. Organisations that thrive in this domain frequently achieve superior positioning to develop distinctive products, minimise time-to-market, and foresee upcoming industry trends.

Outbound innovation denotes disseminating or licensing internal innovations to external entities, including collaborations, spin-offs, or technology transfers. This dimension exhibits a mean score of 3.8 and a standard deviation

of 0.7, suggesting marginally lower adoption levels relative to Inbound Innovation and increased business variability. The elevated standard deviation indicates that certain businesses may deprioritise outward initiatives, perhaps owing to variations in strategy emphasis, organisational culture, or perceived risks linked to externalising internal knowledge.

The correlation coefficient between Outbound Innovation and competitive advantage ( $r=0.55$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) indicates a moderate and statistically significant positive association. This research underscores that firms employing outbound strategies can improve their competitive advantage to a better extent than inbound methods. Companies can generate new revenue sources by externally disseminating ideas, enhancing ties with ecosystem partners, and influencing industry norms. Licensing proprietary technologies or cooperating on open-source platforms allows enterprises to use underutilised assets and enhance their market power. The diminished correlation indicates that the advantages of outward innovation may rely on meticulous management of intellectual property rights, competitive hazards, and the alignment of outward initiatives with overarching strategic objectives. Organisations with outward solid strategy can alleviate potential drawbacks by cultivating trusted partnerships, employing safe knowledge-sharing systems, and ensuring collaboration reciprocity.

Coupled innovation, which synergistically incorporates inbound and outward activities, exhibits the most significant potential for competitive advantage. The mean score of 4.0 and a standard deviation of 0.5 indicate a balanced approach to open innovation, marked by relatively high adoption rates and minimal variability. The uniformity in implementing Coupled Innovation practices indicates that organisations acknowledge the strategic significance of integrating external knowledge acquisition with information dissemination to foster mutually advantageous connections.

The correlation coefficient ( $r=0.70$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) signifies a robust positive and statistically significant association between Coupled Innovation and competitive advantage. This outcome underscores that companies employing a comprehensive strategy for open innovation are more adept at harnessing the synergistic advantages of external knowledge inflows and outflows. By utilising the advantages of inbound and outward operations, businesses can cultivate a dynamic innovation ecosystem in which knowledge is co-created, disseminated, and utilised to tackle challenging challenges. This method is especially efficacious in sectors where collaboration across value chains or ecosystems, such as technology, medicines, or renewable energy, is crucial for success.

The findings on Coupled Innovation indicate that companies that get the highest competitive advantage can effortlessly incorporate external partnerships into their innovation strategy while still upholding robust processes for knowledge protection and governance. These strategies include strategic partnerships, joint ventures, and innovation consortia, wherein organisations collaboratively develop solutions and transparently share results while aligning with their strategic goals. The strong correlation highlights the significance of organisational qualities, including absorptive capacity, relationship management, and trust-building, in facilitating the success of coupled innovation initiatives.

## 5.0 Conclusion

This study illustrates the substantial impact of OI practices on competitive advantage inside China's growing market. Companies can surmount resource limitations and institutional obstacles by utilising external alliances and collaborative innovation, thereby attaining enhanced market performance. Each dimension of inbound, Outbound, and Coupled innovation positively contributes; however, Coupled Innovation is the most significant, demonstrating its capacity to generate synergy between knowledge inflows and outflows. Organisations seeking to optimise their competitive advantage should adopt a balanced and integrative approach to open innovation, underpinned by solid capabilities in collaboration, knowledge management, and strategic alignment. These observations underscore the significance of open innovation as a crucial catalyst for organisational success in the contemporary, rapidly evolving, and linked corporate environment.

### 5.1 Implementation

These discoveries offer numerous practical insights for organisations endeavouring to achieve excellence in open innovation. Initially, cultivating a culture of transparency and collaboration is essential for developing strong inbound and outbound competencies. Secondly, establishing resilient processes for overseeing knowledge transfer, safeguarding intellectual property, and evaluating the effects of innovation initiatives is crucial for maintaining competitive advantage. Investing in integrated innovation techniques can significantly produce the most significant benefits when bolstered by strategic partnerships, joint research and development activities, and other collaborative frameworks that align with organisational objectives. The results collectively highlight the importance of open innovation techniques in fostering competitive advantage. Although each variable uniquely influences performance, the research indicates significant differences in their relative impact and degrees of adoption. Coupled innovation is the most effective strategy, presumably due to its integration of the advantages of both inbound and outbound methodologies, resulting in a more dynamic and

responsive innovation framework. This underscores the significance of an integrative approach to open innovation, wherein enterprises pursue external information and proactively share and interact with external partners.

The significant association coefficients across all three categories underscore the strategic significance of adopting openness in innovation. Nonetheless, the disparities in mean scores and standard deviations indicate variability in organisations adopting these practices. Companies emphasising inbound activity may augment their absorptive capacity and cultivate competencies to recognise and assimilate external knowledge effectively. Proponents of outbound innovation must confront problems associated with intellectual property management and partner alignment to optimise their effectiveness.

## 5.2 Future Research

Future research would need to concentrate on the enduring impacts of open innovation (OI) on competitive advantage via longitudinal studies, as these can elucidate how OI affects firm performance over time and across the innovation lifecycle, offering insights into its sustainability in dynamic markets (Van de Vrande et al., 2009). Comparative analyses of emerging countries such as India, Brazil, and South Africa may reveal the influence of distinct institutional frameworks on the acceptance and efficacy of open innovation, enhancing the comprehension of innovation management in different economic settings (Dhanaraj & Parkhe, 2006). Furthermore, qualitative methods like case studies and interviews enhance quantitative approaches by providing in-depth, context-specific insights into organisations' cultural and institutional obstacles.

## References

- Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120.
- Bryman, A. (2016). *Social Research Methods* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Chesbrough, H. W. (2003). *Open Innovation: The New Imperative for Creating and Profiting from Technology*. Harvard Business Press.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Dhanaraj, C., & Parkhe, A. (2006). Orchestrating innovation networks. *Academy of Management Review*, 31(3), 659-669.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532-550.
- Enkel, E., Gassmann, O., & Chesbrough, H. (2009). Open R&D and open innovation: Exploring the phenomenon. *R&D Management*, 39(4), 311-316.
- Etzkowitz, H., & Leydesdorff, L. (2000). The dynamics of innovation: From National Systems and "Mode 2" to a Triple Helix of university-industry-government relations. *Research Policy*, 29(2), 109-123.
- Gassmann, O., & Enkel, E. (2004). Towards a theory of open innovation: Three core process archetypes. *R&D Management Conference*.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Laursen, K., & Salter, A. (2006). Open for innovation: The role of openness in explaining innovation performance among UK manufacturing firms. *Strategic Management Journal*, 27(2), 131-150.
- Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric Theory* (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Teece, D. J. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509-533.
- Van de Vrande, V., de Jong, J. P., Vanhaverbeke, W., & de Rochemont, M. (2009). Open innovation in SMEs: Trends, motives and management challenges. *Technovation*, 29(6), 423-437.
- Wu, J. (2017). State support and sustainable competitive advantage in China's high-tech industries. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 118, 54-66.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Zeng, S. X., Xie, X. M., & Tam, C. M. (2019). Relationship between cooperation networks and innovation performance of SMEs. *Technovation*, 30(3), 181-194.