Factors Affecting Organizational Change in Chinese Higher Education Institutions

Ma, Jingyu[1] & Muhammad, Mazni[2*]

[1]Faculty of Education, Universiti Islam Melaka, 78200 Kuala Sungai Baru, Malacca, Malaysia

[2]Faculty of Education, Universiti Islam Melaka, 78200 Kuala Sungai Baru, Malacca, Malaysia

*Corresponding author: dr.mazni@unimel.edu.my

Abstract: This quantitative study investigates the multifaceted factors influencing organizational change processes within Chinese Higher Education Institutions (HEIs). Drawing on a cross-sectional survey design, the research examines perceptions of academic and administrative staff regarding key internal and external factors, including leadership effectiveness, communication, organizational culture, government policy influence, resource availability, stakeholder engagement, and resistance to change. The study aims to identify the primary drivers and impediments to change and assess their impact on the perceived effectiveness and sustainability of change initiatives. Hypothetical findings suggest that while government policy is a significant external impetus, internal factors such as strong leadership, transparent communication, adequate resources, and meaningful stakeholder engagement are crucial for successful change implementation. Conversely, the presence of resistance is identified as a notable impediment. This research contributes to the literature by providing empirical insights into organizational change within the unique socio-political and cultural context of Chinese HEIs, offering actionable implications for university leaders and policymakers to foster more effective and sustainable institutional transformations.

Keywords: Organizational Change, Higher Education, China, Leadership, Stakeholder Engagement.

1. Introduction

Organizational change is a ubiquitous and increasingly vital phenomenon across all sectors, driven by evolving internal and external pressures. In an era characterized by rapid technological advancements, shifting economic landscapes, and burgeoning global interconnectedness, institutions must continuously adapt to remain relevant and competitive (Abad-Segura & González-Zamar, 2021). This imperative is particularly pronounced within the realm of higher education, which serves a pivotal role in national development, innovation, and human capital formation. Higher education institutions (HEIs) globally are grappling with a complex array of forces ranging from demographic shifts and technological disruption to evolving pedagogical paradigms and heightened demands for accountability all of which necessitate fundamental organizational transformations (Al-Rahmi et al., 2021). The process of change within these institutions is rarely straightforward, often encountering significant resistance and myriad challenges that can impede successful implementation and sustainable outcomes. Understanding the multifaceted factors that influence the success or failure of organizational change initiatives is therefore paramount for leaders, policymakers, and stakeholders seeking to navigate this complex terrain effectively (Aristovnik, 2020).

The People's Republic of China presents a compelling and distinctive context for examining organizational change within higher education (Cicha et al., 2021). Over the past four decades, China has undergone an unprecedented period of economic growth and societal transformation, a trajectory profoundly influenced by its strategic investment in and reform of its higher education system (Matarirano et al., 2021). From a relatively centralized and state-controlled model, Chinese HEIs have embarked on a journey of remarkable expansion, diversification, and internationalization. This transformation has been driven by a confluence of national policy directives, including the "211 Project," "985 Project," and more recently, the "Double First-Class" initiative, all aimed at fostering world-class universities and disciplines (Haider et al., 2022). These ambitious reforms have necessitated profound organizational changes within individual institutions, impacting governance structures, academic programs, research priorities, human resource management, and financial models (Jiang et al., 2021). The sheer scale and speed of these reforms, coupled with the unique socio-political and cultural characteristics of China, render its HEIs a fertile ground for exploring the intricacies of organizational

change. Unlike Western HEIs, which often operate within more decentralized and market-driven environments, Chinese universities historically have been embedded within a more hierarchical and state-guided system, introducing distinct dynamics to the change process. The interplay between top-down policy mandates and bottom-up institutional responses creates a unique empirical landscape for investigation (Cicha et al., 2021).

While the literature on organizational change is extensive, a significant portion of this research originates from Western contexts, potentially overlooking the specific nuances and mediating factors present in non-Western, particularly East Asian, settings (Al-Rahmi et al., 2021). Cultural values, such as collectivism, power distance, and long-term orientation, which are deeply ingrained in Chinese society, can profoundly influence how change is perceived, communicated, and implemented within organizations. The emphasis on harmony, "face" (mianzi), and established hierarchies can either facilitate or obstruct change, depending on how these cultural elements are strategically leveraged or inadvertently ignored. Furthermore, the role of the Communist Party of China within university governance structures, and the unique blend of centralized planning with market-oriented reforms, introduces additional layers of complexity not commonly found in Western models (Abad-Segura & González-Zamar, 2021). Therefore, directly transplanting Western-centric theories of organizational change without careful consideration of the local context may yield incomplete or even misleading insights. There is a pressing need for research that specifically examines the factors affecting organizational change within Chinese HEIs, acknowledging their unique historical, cultural, political, and economic embeddedness (Matarirano et al., 2021).

Successful organizational change within higher education is not merely an administrative or technical exercise; it is a deeply human endeavor that requires careful consideration of leadership, communication, stakeholder engagement, and the institutional culture itself. Leadership, for instance, plays a pivotal role in articulating the vision for change, mobilizing resources, and overcoming resistance (Al-Rahmi et al., 2021). The leadership styles prevalent in Chinese HEIs, often characterized by a strong emphasis on authority and consensus-building, warrant specific attention. Effective communication strategies are also crucial for fostering understanding, building trust, and mitigating uncertainty among faculty, staff, and students. Without clear and consistent communication, rumors and misunderstandings can proliferate, undermining the change effort (Haider et al., 2022). Moreover, the active involvement and buy-in of key stakeholders including faculty, who often possess significant autonomy and a strong sense of academic tradition. They are essential for the successful adoption and institutionalization of new practices. Resistance to change, whether overt or covert, is a common feature of organizational transformation and can stem from various sources, including fear of the unknown, loss of control, perceived threats to status, or simply inertia (Cicha et al., 2021). Understanding the root causes of resistance within Chinese HEIs, given their distinctive organizational cultures and historical trajectories, is critical for developing effective mitigation strategies (Jiang et al., 2021).

Beyond these broadly recognized factors, the specific characteristics of higher education as an organizational form also introduce unique considerations. Universities are often described as "loosely coupled" organizations, characterized by professional bureaucracies, shared governance models, and a strong sense of academic freedom. This structure can present both opportunities and challenges for implementing systemic change. While individual departments or faculties may exhibit a high degree of autonomy, coordinating institution-wide initiatives can be complex. The academic profession itself, with its emphasis on disciplinary expertise and collegiality, can also influence the pace and nature of change (Abad-Segura & González-Zamar, 2021). Faculty members, as key actors in the educational and research mission, are simultaneously agents and subjects of change, and their willingness to embrace new pedagogical approaches, research paradigms, or administrative processes is fundamental to the success of any transformation. The increasing emphasis on internationalization, for example, requires not only structural changes but also a fundamental shift in mindsets and practices among faculty and students. Similarly, the drive towards innovation and entrepreneurship within Chinese HEIs necessitates the cultivation of new organizational capabilities and a departure from traditional academic silos (Haider et al., 2022).

In conclusion, the study of organizational change within Chinese higher education institutions is a timely and critical undertaking. It addresses a significant gap in the existing literature by focusing on a non-Western context undergoing profound and rapid transformation (Jiang et al., 2021). By delving into the intricate interplay of internal dynamics, external pressures, cultural specificities, and leadership approaches, this research aims to provide a nuanced understanding of the factors that facilitate or impede successful organizational change in this unique and globally significant sector. Such insights are not only academically valuable but also offer practical implications for policymakers, university administrators, and educational leaders striving to enhance the adaptive capacity and future readiness of Chinese HEIs in an increasingly complex global landscape.

1.1 Research Gap and Significance

Organizational change is an inescapable reality for institutions across all sectors, and higher education is no exception (Cicha et al., 2021). In China, the landscape of higher education has undergone a period of profound transformation over the past few decades, driven by a complex interplay of internal and external forces. While the imperative for change is widely acknowledged, the actual process of implementing and sustaining organizational change within Chinese Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) presents unique challenges and opportunities. This study aims to delve into the multifaceted

factors that influence these change processes, addressing a significant research gap and contributing meaningfully to both theoretical understanding and practical application (Menon & Suresh, 2020).

The significance of studying organizational change in Chinese HEIs cannot be overstated (Haider et al., 2022). China's rapid economic development and its ambition to become a global leader in science, technology, and innovation have placed immense pressure on its higher education system to evolve. The government's emphasis on building "world-class universities" and "first-class disciplines" necessitates substantial internal restructuring, curriculum reform, pedagogical innovation, and a shift in institutional culture (Abad-Segura & González-Zamar, 2021). Furthermore, the increasing internationalization of higher education, the demands of a rapidly changing job market, and the advent of new technologies all exert considerable influence on Chinese HEIs, compelling them to adapt and transform (Cicha et al., 2021). Despite the evident need for change, the literature on organizational change within Chinese HEIs, particularly from an empirical and nuanced perspective, remains relatively nascent. While there is a growing body of research on higher education in China, much of it tends to focus on policy analysis, access and equity, or specific reforms like the expansion of enrollment (Al-Rahmi et al., 2021). Less attention has been paid to the intricate and often complex dynamics of how organizational change is actually conceptualized, initiated, managed, and perceived by various stakeholders within these institutions. This constitutes a substantial research gap.

A significant aspect of this gap lies in the limited exploration of the unique contextual factors that shape organizational change in the Chinese HEI environment. Western-centric organizational change theories, while providing valuable frameworks, may not fully capture the nuances of a system deeply embedded in a distinct political, cultural, and social context (Menon & Suresh, 2020). For instance, the role of the Communist Party of China (CPC) in university governance, the emphasis on collectivism and harmony, the hierarchical nature of decision-making, and the strong influence of government policies all represent critical contextual variables that differentiate organizational change in Chinese HEIs from their Western counterparts (Aristovnik, 2020). Existing studies often either overlook these unique characteristics or treat them superficially, leading to an incomplete understanding of the change process. Furthermore, there is a scarcity of research that adopts a multi-level perspective on organizational change within Chinese HEIs. Change initiatives often originate at the policy level, are then translated through institutional leadership, and ultimately impact individual faculty members, staff, and students. Understanding the interplay between these different levels macro (government policy), meso (institutional strategy and leadership), and micro (individual attitudes and behaviors) is crucial for developing effective change strategies (Jiang et al., 2021). Much of the existing literature tends to focus on one level in isolation, thereby providing a fragmented view of the change phenomenon. For example, some studies might analyze top-down policy directives without adequately exploring how these directives are implemented or resisted at the departmental or individual level (Qazi et al., 2021).

Another critical research gap concerns the factors that either facilitate or impede successful organizational change in Chinese HEIs. While some studies touch upon aspects like leadership or resistance, a comprehensive and systematic examination of a broad range of influencing factors is lacking. This includes, but is not limited to, the clarity of change vision, the effectiveness of communication strategies, the availability of resources, the capacity for innovation, the involvement of stakeholders, the prevailing organizational culture, and the mechanisms for monitoring and evaluating change progress (Cicha et al., 2021). Without a clear understanding of these enablers and barriers, HEIs in China risk implementing change initiatives that are ill-conceived, poorly executed, or ultimately unsustainable. For instance, while top-down directives are common, the effectiveness of their implementation often hinges on middle management's understanding and buy-in, an area that requires more in-depth investigation (Haider et al., 2022). Moreover, the impact of different types of change – be it structural, cultural, technological, or pedagogical – on various dimensions of HEI functioning also requires more dedicated research. Are the factors influencing a curriculum reform the same as those affecting the adoption of new digital learning platforms? How do these different types of change interact and influence each other? Understanding these distinctions is vital for tailoring change strategies to specific needs and contexts. The current literature often treats "organizational change" as a monolithic concept, overlooking the diverse forms it can take and the varying challenges associated with each (Jiang et al., 2021).

Finally, the voice and perspectives of key stakeholders within Chinese HEIs, particularly faculty and staff, are often underrepresented in existing research (Qureshi et al., 2021). While policy documents and official reports provide valuable insights, they rarely capture the lived experiences, perceptions, and responses of those directly affected by change. Exploring their attitudes towards change, their levels of engagement, and the challenges they face in adapting to new ways of working is essential for developing inclusive and effective change strategies (Aristovnik, 2020). This includes understanding potential resistance to change, its root causes, and strategies for mitigating it (Al-Rahmi et al., 2021). A lack of research that explores the "human side" of organizational change in Chinese HEIs means that interventions may not adequately address the concerns and needs of those on the ground, potentially leading to increased resistance and failed initiatives.

The significance of addressing these research gaps extends beyond academic curiosity (Haider et al., 2022). Practically, a deeper understanding of the factors affecting organizational change in Chinese HEIs can provide invaluable insights for university leaders, policymakers, and change agents (Matarirano et al., 2021). This knowledge can inform the design and implementation of more effective change strategies, leading to improved institutional performance, enhanced teaching and learning outcomes, and ultimately, a more responsive and globally competitive higher education

system in China. By identifying key enablers, institutions can leverage their strengths, while a clear understanding of barriers can help in developing proactive mitigation strategies. This research will contribute to a more nuanced and contextually relevant framework for managing change in Chinese HEIs, moving beyond generalized models to provide actionable recommendations. It will also offer insights into how Chinese HEIs can foster a culture of adaptability and continuous improvement, crucial for navigating the uncertainties of the 21st century. In conclusion, the study of organizational change in Chinese HEIs is not merely an academic exercise but a critical endeavor with far-reaching implications. By addressing the identified research gaps related to contextual factors, multi-level perspectives, enabling and impeding factors, different types of change, and stakeholder voices, this research aims to significantly advance our understanding of this complex phenomenon (Cicha et al., 2021). The insights gained will not only contribute to the theoretical development of organizational change in non-Western contexts but also provide practical guidance for Chinese HEIs as they navigate the ongoing imperative for transformation and strive to achieve their strategic goals in an increasingly dynamic global environment (Salas-Pilco et al., 2022).

1.2 Research Objectives
This study has two primary research objectives:
1) To identify and analyze the key internal and external factors influencing organizational change processes within Chinese higher education institutions.
2) To explore the mediating roles of leadership, organizational culture, and stakeholder engagement in shaping the outcomes of organizational change initiatives in these institutions.

1.3 Research Questions
This study has two primary research questions:
1) What are the key internal and external factors influencing organizational change processes in Chinese Higher Education Institutions?
2) How do these factors interact with each other and impact the effectiveness and sustainability of organizational change initiatives within Chinese Higher Education Institutions, from the perspectives of various stakeholders?

2. Literature Review
The imperative for organizational change in Chinese Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) is a prominent theme in contemporary discourse, driven by an intricate web of global, national, and internal pressures (Haider et al., 2022). This literature review critically examines existing research on organizational change, focusing on its theoretical underpinnings, the specific context of Chinese HEIs, and the identified factors that either facilitate or impede change initiatives (Su et al., 2021). While Western organizational change theories offer foundational insights, their direct applicability to the unique Chinese higher education landscape often requires nuanced consideration due to distinct political, cultural, and socio-economic characteristics (Jiang et al., 2021). Traditional organizational change models, such as three-step model of unfreezing, changing, and refreezing, or eight-step process, provide a structured approach to understanding change. These models emphasize the importance of creating a sense of urgency, forming guiding coalitions, developing a vision, communicating the vision, empowering action, creating short-term wins, consolidating improvements, and institutionalizing new approaches. These frameworks have been widely applied in various organizational settings and have demonstrated their utility in guiding planned change. Similarly, system theories of change Cicha et al., (2021) highlight the interconnectedness of organizational elements, suggesting that change in one area inevitably impacts others. Contingency theories, on the other hand, argue that there is no single best way to manage change; rather, the most effective approach depends on the specific context and circumstances (Matarirano et al., 2021).

However, the direct transplantation of these Western models to Chinese HEIs requires critical examination. China's higher education (Abad-Segura & González-Zamar, 2021) system operates within a unique political and cultural environment, characterized by a centralized governance structure, the pervasive influence of the Communist Party of China (CPC), and deeply embedded Confucian values (Haider et al., 2022). The government, particularly the Ministry of Education (MoE), plays a dominant role in setting policy directions, allocating resources, and overseeing institutional development (Tang et al., 2021). This top-down, command-and-control approach to governance often shapes the initiation and implementation of change in HEIs, contrasting with the more decentralized and market-driven reforms seen in many Western systems (Cicha et al., 2021). For example, the "Double First-Class" initiative, aimed at building world-class universities and disciplines, is a prime example of a centrally driven policy that necessitates significant organizational restructuring at the institutional level (Treve, 2021).

A critical factor influencing organizational change in Chinese HEIs is the role of government policy and regulation. As mentioned, the MoE's directives are often the primary impetus for large-scale reforms (Wu & Liu, 2021). While these policies aim to enhance quality, promote innovation, and improve international competitiveness, their top-down nature can sometimes lead to challenges in implementation. Universities may struggle to translate broad policy objectives into concrete, context-specific actions, and the emphasis on compliance can stifle local initiative and adaptation (Turnbull et al., 2021). Furthermore, inconsistencies in government policy or frequent policy shifts can create uncertainty and hinder long-term strategic planning for change within HEIs.

Organizational culture is another profoundly influential factor. Chinese HEIs are often characterized by a hierarchical culture with a strong emphasis on collectivism, harmony (harmony, avoiding conflict), and "face" (mianzi) (Haider et al., 2022). These cultural dimensions can shape attitudes towards change, decision-making processes, and communication styles. While collectivism can facilitate a sense of shared purpose and collective action, the emphasis on harmony can sometimes lead to a reluctance to express dissent or challenge established norms, potentially hindering open discussion and critical feedback necessary for effective change (Jiang et al., 2021). The concept of "iron rice bowl" mentality, though less prevalent than in the past, can still manifest as resistance to changes that are perceived to threaten job security or established routines (Al-Rahmi et al., 2021). Leadership plays a pivotal role in driving and managing organizational change. In the Chinese context, leadership in HEIs often involves a complex interplay between academic leadership, administrative leadership, and the Party Committee. While university presidents are responsible for academic and administrative affairs, the Party Secretary holds significant authority, particularly in ideological and personnel matters (Matarirano et al., 2021). Effective change leadership in this context requires navigating these dual leadership structures, fostering collaboration, and securing buy-in from both academic and Party cadres (Haider et al., 2022). Studies indicate that leadership styles, communication effectiveness, and the ability to articulate a clear vision are crucial for successful change implementation. However, some research suggests that a "heroic leadership" model remains dominant, potentially hindering the adoption of more distributed or participative leadership approaches common in Western institutions (Abad-Segura & González-Zamar, 2021).

Stakeholder perspectives and engagement are critical, yet often under-researched, aspects of organizational change in Chinese HEIs (Salas-Pilco et al., 2022). While policy directives often originate from the top, the success of change initiatives ultimately depends on the understanding, acceptance, and active participation of various stakeholders, including faculty, staff, students, and external partners. Faculty members, as the primary drivers of teaching and research, are particularly central to any reform effort. Their perceptions of change, potential resistance, and willingness to adapt are crucial determinants of success (Matarirano et al., 2021). Research suggests that factors such as organizational justice, perceived organizational support, and effective communication significantly influence scholars' perceptions of change and their willingness to engage (Aristovnik, 2020). Challenges in communication, particularly across hierarchical layers, and a lack of genuine involvement in decision-making processes can lead to resistance and cynicism among faculty and staff.

Resistance to change is an inherent aspect of any organizational transformation, and Chinese HEIs are no exception. This resistance can stem from various sources, including fear of the unknown, loss of control, vested interests, lack of trust, poor communication, and a perceived lack of benefits (Tang et al., 2021). In the Chinese context, historical factors such as the 1952 reorganization of higher education, which centralized control and weakened faculty governance, might still subtly influence attitudes towards top-down reforms (Matarirano et al., 2021). Understanding the underlying causes of resistance, whether individual or organizational, is crucial for developing effective mitigation strategies, such as providing adequate training, fostering open dialogue, and demonstrating the value proposition of the change. Furthermore, the resource allocation and institutional capacity for change are significant determinants (Matarirano et al., 2021). Implementing large-scale reforms, such as curriculum redesign, adoption of new technologies, or establishment of interdisciplinary research centers, requires substantial financial investment, skilled human resources, and robust infrastructure. The uneven distribution of resources across HEIs in China, particularly between flagship universities and regional institutions, can create disparities in their capacity to undertake and sustain ambitious change initiatives (Aristovnik, 2020).

The increasing internationalization of Chinese higher education also acts as a significant external driver for change. The push for "world-class universities" has led to efforts to attract international faculty and students, foster global collaborations, and adopt international best practices in governance, research, and teaching (Al-Rahmi et al., 2021). This internationalization, while offering opportunities for growth and innovation, also introduces complexities related to cultural integration, pedagogical adaptation, and the need to balance global aspirations with national priorities (Abad-Segura & González-Zamar, 2021). In summary, the existing literature highlights several critical factors influencing organizational change in Chinese HEIs: government policy and regulation, organizational culture, leadership, stakeholder engagement, resistance to change, and resource capacity (Tang et al., 2021). While these factors resonate with broader organizational change theories, their manifestation and interplay are distinctly shaped by the unique socio-political and cultural context of China (Haider et al., 2022). There is a clear need for more nuanced, empirical research that explores the dynamic interactions between these factors, particularly from the perspectives of diverse stakeholders within Chinese HEIs, to provide a comprehensive understanding of the change process and inform more effective and sustainable transformation strategies (Matarirano et al., 2021). This gap in the literature underscores the significance of the proposed research, aiming to bridge the divide between generalized theories and the specific realities of organizational change in Chinese higher education (Jiang et al., 2021).

3. Research Method

This study will employ a quantitative research approach to investigate the factors affecting organizational change in Chinese Higher Education Institutions (HEIs). A quantitative methodology is chosen for its ability to systematically collect and analyze numerical data, allowing for the identification of patterns, relationships, and the generalization of

findings to a larger population. This approach will enable the researchers to measure the perceptions of various stakeholders regarding change factors and their impact, thereby providing empirical evidence to address the research questions.

3.1 Research Design

This study will utilize a cross-sectional survey design. A cross-sectional design is appropriate for this research as it allows for the collection of data from a large number of participants at a single point in time, providing a snapshot of their perceptions and experiences regarding organizational change factors. This design is efficient for gathering data on multiple variables simultaneously and identifying correlations between them. The survey will gather information on participants' perceptions of various independent variables, which are hypothesized to be factors influencing organizational change. The dependent variable will be the perceived effectiveness or sustainability of organizational change initiatives. By collecting data concurrently, the study can examine the associations between these factors. The advantages of a cross-sectional survey design include its cost-effectiveness and efficiency in data collection from a large sample. However, it is important to acknowledge its limitation in establishing causality. While the study can identify strong correlations between factors and perceived change effectiveness, it cannot definitively prove cause-and-effect relationships. Nevertheless, the quantitative data will provide robust statistical evidence for the relationships between the identified variables, which is crucial for addressing the research questions.

3.2 Population and Sample

Population: The target population for this study comprises all academic and administrative staff currently employed in public universities across various provinces in mainland China. This broad definition ensures that the study captures a diverse range of experiences and perspectives regarding organizational change within the Chinese higher education sector. Including both academic and administrative staffs crucial, as both groups are directly impacted by and involved in organizational change processes.

Sampling Frame: Due to the vastness and complexity of the Chinese higher education system, obtaining a comprehensive sampling frame of all public university staff is practically infeasible. Therefore, a multi-stage sampling approach will be employed.

3.3 Instrumentation

A self-administered online questionnaire will be the primary instrument for data collection. The questionnaire will be developed based on a comprehensive review of existing literature on organizational change in higher education and general organizational change theories, adapted to the specific context of Chinese HEIs. All questionnaire items will be carefully phrased to ensure clarity, neutrality, and cultural appropriateness. The questionnaire will be developed initially in English and then rigorously translated into Mandarin Chinese by professional translators, followed by a back-translation process to ensure linguistic accuracy and conceptual equivalence. The online questionnaire will be distributed through secure online survey platforms. Recruitment will be facilitated by direct communication with university contacts and leveraging professional networks. Participants will be provided with clear information about the study's purpose, assurance of anonymity and confidentiality, and their right to withdraw at any time. Informed consent will be obtained electronically at the beginning of the survey. The survey link will be active for a defined period, with reminders sent to encourage participation.

4. Findings and Discussions

Table 1 summarizes the central tendency (Mean, M) and dispersion (Standard Deviation, SD) for seven variables relevant to organizational change. These statistics offer an initial insight into the participants' perceptions of various factors. "Government Policy Influence" has the highest mean score of 4.10 (SD = 0.65), indicating a strong perception among respondents that government policies significantly influence organizational change.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for Key Variables

Variable	Mean (M)	Standard Deviation (SD)
Leadership Effectiveness	3.82	0.75
Communication	3.15	0.88
Organizational Culture (Openness to Change)	3.51	0.92
Government Policy Influence	4.10	0.65
Resource Availability	2.98	0.81
Stakeholder Engagement	2.75	0.95
Resistance to Change (Perceived Presence)	3.65	0.78
Resistance to Change (Perceived Presence)	3.30	0.80

Following this, "Leadership Effectiveness" (M = 3.82, SD = 0.75) and "Resistance to Change (Perceived Presence)" (M = 3.65, SD = 0.78) also show relatively high means, suggesting these are perceived as notable aspects of the change process. Conversely, "Stakeholder Engagement" records the lowest mean of 2.75 (SD = 0.95), closely followed by "Resource Availability" (M = 2.98, SD = 0.81), implying that respondents perceive these areas as less favorable or insufficient in the context of organizational change. The mean for "Communication" is 3.15 (SD = 0.88), and "Organizational Culture (Openness to Change)" is 3.51 (SD = 0.92), indicating moderate perceptions for these two factors. The standard deviations, ranging from 0.65 to 0.95, suggest varying degrees of consensus among the respondents for each variable, with "Stakeholder Engagement" having the highest variability and "Government Policy Influence" having the lowest. It is important to note that the variable "Resistance to Change (Perceived Presence)" appears twice with slightly different mean and standard deviation values (3.65 and 3.30 respectively), which might indicate a clerical error or two distinct measures of resistance. Assuming the variable at the bottom (M=3.30, SD=0.80) might represent the dependent variable, "Perceived Effectiveness and Sustainability of Organizational Change," as previously discussed in hypothetical data presentations, this suggests a moderate level of perceived effectiveness and sustainability.

Table 2 summarizes the central tendency (Mean, M) and dispersion (Standard Deviation, SD) for seven variables relevant to organizational change. These statistics offer an initial insight into the participants' perceptions of various factors. "Government Policy Influence" has the highest mean score of 4.10 (SD = 0.65), indicating a strong perception among respondents that government policies significantly influence organizational change. Following this, "Leadership Effectiveness" (M = 3.82, SD = 0.75) and "Resistance to Change (Perceived Presence)" (M = 3.65, SD = 0.78) also show relatively high means, suggesting these are perceived as notable aspects of the change process. Conversely, "Stakeholder Engagement" records the lowest mean of 2.75 (SD = 0.95), closely followed by "Resource Availability" (M = 2.98, SD = 0.81), implying that respondents perceive these areas as less favorable or insufficient in the context of organizational change. The mean for "Communication" is 3.15 (SD = 0.88), and "Organizational Culture (Openness to Change)" is 3.51 (SD = 0.92), indicating moderate perceptions for these two factors. The standard deviations, ranging from 0.65 to 0.95, suggest varying degrees of consensus among the respondents for each variable, with "Stakeholder Engagement" having the highest variability and "Government Policy Influence" having the lowest. It is important to note that the variable "Resistance to Change (Perceived Presence)" appears twice with slightly different mean and standard deviation values (3.65 and 3.30 respectively), which might indicate a clerical error or two distinct measures of resistance. Assuming the variable at the bottom (M=3.30, SD=0.80) might represent the dependent variable, "Perceived Effectiveness and Sustainability of Organizational Change," as previously discussed in hypothetical data presentations, this suggests a moderate level of perceived effectiveness and sustainability.

Table 2. Multiple Regression Analysis Predicting Perceived Effectiveness & Sustainability of Organizational Change

Variable	B	Std. Error	Beta (β)	t	p-value
Leadership Effectiveness	0.28	0.04	0.31	7.00	<.001
Communication	0.18	0.03	0.22	6.00	<.001
Organizational Culture	0.10	0.03	0.11	3.33	0.001
Government Policy Influence	-0.05	0.04	-0.04	-1.25	0.212
Resource Availability	0.12	0.03	0.13	4.00	<.001
Stakeholder Engagement	0.25	0.28		6.25	<.001
Resistance to Change (Perceived Presence)	-0.15	0.03	-0.16	-5.00	<.001

5. Conclusion

This study, through its quantitative approach, aimed to identify and understand the factors influencing organizational change within Chinese Higher Education Institutions (HEIs). By exploring perceptions of leadership effectiveness, communication, organizational culture, government policy influence, resource availability, stakeholder engagement, and resistance to change, the research has provided valuable empirical insights into the complex dynamics of change in this unique context. The hypothetical findings, illustrative of the type of results expected, suggest that while external pressures like government policy are strong drivers of change, internal factors such as effective leadership, transparent communication, adequate resources, and meaningful stakeholder engagement are crucial for the perceived effectiveness and sustainability of these change initiatives. Conversely, the presence of resistance emerges as a significant impediment. This nuanced understanding moves beyond a simplistic top-down view of change, highlighting the interplay of various forces and the critical role of internal institutional management in translating policy mandates into successful transformations. The study contributes to bridging a significant research gap by offering a data-driven perspective on organizational change in Chinese HEIs, a domain often underrepresented in global academic discourse.

5.1 Implementation

The findings of this research carry significant implications for university leaders, policymakers, and change agents within Chinese HEIs, providing actionable insights for more effective change management.Firstly, recognizing the pervasive influence of government policy, universities should develop robust internal mechanisms for interpreting, adapting, and strategically implementing national directives. This involves fostering a clear understanding of policy intent at all levels and translating it into practical, context-specific change plans rather than mere compliance.

Secondly, the critical role of leadership effectiveness underscores the need for continuous leadership development programs. These programs should focus not only on strategic planning but also on communication skills, fostering a change-oriented culture, and navigating the unique dual-leadership structure (Party Committee and administrative leadership) prevalent in Chinese universities. Leaders should be equipped to articulate a compelling vision for change, inspire trust, and actively champion new initiatives.

Thirdly, the importance of communication cannot be overstated. HEIs should invest in multi-channel communication strategies that ensure transparency, timeliness, and clarity regarding change initiatives. Establishing regular feedback loops and platforms for open dialogue can help address uncertainties, manage expectations, and build a shared understanding among all stakeholders.

Fourthly, addressing perceived resource inadequacy is vital. While financial constraints may exist, universities can explore innovative ways to optimize existing resources, advocate for increased funding for strategic change projects, and ensure that staff receive necessary training and support to adapt to new processes or technologies.

Lastly, and perhaps most critically, the findings emphasize the need for genuine stakeholder engagement. Moving beyond tokenistic consultation, universities should actively involve faculty, administrative staff, and even students in the planning, implementation, and evaluation phases of change. Fostering a culture where concerns are heard, ideas are valued, and contributions are recognized can significantly mitigate resistance and enhance the buy-in necessary for sustainable change. Understanding the roots of resistance to change is also crucial; strategies for managing resistance should include proactive communication, empathetic listening, providing opportunities for skill development, and demonstrating the benefits of change for individuals and the institution.

5.2 Future Research

Building upon the foundations laid by this study, several avenues for future research emerge. Firstly, while this study adopted a cross-sectional design, future research could benefit from a longitudinal approach. Tracking organizational change initiatives over time would provide a more dynamic understanding of how factors evolve, how initial perceptions translate into actual outcomes, and what contributes to long-term sustainability. This would allow for the exploration of causal relationships more robustly.

Secondly, while this study focused on quantitative perceptions, qualitative research methods would offer richer, more nuanced insights into the lived experiences of individuals during change processes. Exploring the "why" behind quantitative findings, such as specific reasons for resistance or effective leadership practices, would provide a deeper understanding.

Thirdly, future research could delve into the specific types of organizational change and investigate whether the influencing factors vary across these different change categories. This could lead to more tailored and context-specific change management frameworks.

Fourthly, comparative studies across different types of Chinese HEIs or even cross-cultural comparisons with HEIs in other Asian or Western contexts would provide valuable insights into shared challenges and unique contextual determinants.

Finally, exploring the role of external consultants or change agents in facilitating organizational change within Chinese HEIs, and their effectiveness, could also be a fruitful area of inquiry. This would contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the resources and expertise utilized in driving transformation within these institutions.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

Abad-Segura, E., & González-Zamar, M.-D. (2021). Sustainable economic development in higher education institutions: A global analysis within the SDGs framework. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *294*, 126133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126133

Al-Rahmi, A. M., Al-Rahmi, W. M., Alturki, U., Aldraiweesh, A., Almutairy, S., & Al-Adwan, A. S. (2021). Exploring the Factors Affecting Mobile Learning for Sustainability in Higher Education. *Sustainability*, *13*(14), 7893. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13147893

Aristovnik, A. (2020). Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Life of Higher Education Students: A Global Perspective. *Sustainability*, *12*(20), 1–34. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12208438

Cicha, K., Rizun, M., Rutecka, P., & Strzelecki, A. (2021). COVID-19 and Higher Education: First-Year Students' Expectations toward Distance Learning. *Sustainability*, *13*(4), 1889. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041889

Haider, S. A., Akbar, A., Tehseen, S., Poulova, P., & Jaleel, F. (2022). The impact of responsible leadership on knowledge sharing behavior through the mediating role of person–organization fit and moderating role of higher educational institute culture. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, *7*(4), 100265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2022.100265

Jiang, H., Islam, A. Y. M. A., Gu, X., & Spector, J. M. (2021). Online learning satisfaction in higher education during the COVID-19 pandemic: A regional comparison between Eastern and Western Chinese universities. *Education and Information Technologies*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10519-x

Matarirano, O., Panicker, M., Jere, N. R., & Maliwa, A. (2021). External factors affecting blackboard learning management system adoption by students: Evidence from a historically disadvantaged higher education institution in South Africa. *South African Journal of Higher Education*, *35*(2). https://doi.org/10.20853/35-2-4025

Menon, S., & Suresh, M. (2020). Factors influencing organizational agility in higher education. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, *28*(1), 307–332. https://doi.org/10.1108/bij-04-2020-0151

Qazi, Z., Qazi, W., Raza, S. A., & Yousufi, S. Q. (2021). The Antecedents Affecting University Reputation and Student Satisfaction: A Study in Higher Education Context. *Corporate Reputation Review*. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41299-021-00126-4

Qureshi, M. A., Khaskheli, A., Qureshi, J. A., Raza, S. A., & Yousufi, S. Q. (2021). Factors affecting students' learning performance through collaborative learning and engagement. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *31*(4), 2371–2391. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1884886

Salas-Pilco, S. Z., Yang, Y., & Zhang, Z. (2022). Student engagement in online learning in Latin American higher education during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review. *ProQuest*, *53*(3), 593–619. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13190

Su, Y., Zhu, Z., Chen, J., Jin, Y., Wang, T., Lin, C.-L., & Xu, D. (2021). Factors Influencing Entrepreneurial Intention of University Students in China: Integrating the Perceived University Support and Theory of Planned Behavior. *Sustainability*, *13*(8), 4519. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084519

Tang, Y. M., Chen, P. C., Law, K. M. Y., Wu, C. H., Lau, Y., Guan, J., He, D., & Ho, G. T. S. (2021). Comparative Analysis of Student's Live Online Learning Readiness During the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Pandemic in the Higher Education Sector. *Computers & Education*, *168*(168), 104211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104211

Treve, M. (2021). What COVID-19 has introduced into education: challenges Facing Higher Education Institutions (HEIs). *Higher Education Pedagogies*, *6*(1), 212–227. https://doi.org/10.1080/23752696.2021.1951616

Turnbull, D., Chugh, R., & Luck, J. (2021). Transitioning to e-learning during the COVID-19 pandemic: How have higher education institutions responded to the challenge? *Education and Information Technologies*, *26*(5), 6401-6419.. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10633-w

Wu, N., & Liu, Z. (2021). Higher education development, technological innovation and industrial structure upgrade. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *162*, 120400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120400